THE CHEMISTRY OF RUBRADIRIN. II RUBRANITROSE

Sir:

Rubradirin^{1~4}) contains a $C_8H_{15}NO_5^*$ moiety not found in rubradirin B⁵). We here report that acid hydrolysis of rubradirin afforded this fragment which was found to be a nitrosugar we have named L-rubranitrose. L-Rubranitrose is shown to have structure **1a**. It is stereoisomeric with evernitrose, **2**^{6,7}, found in the unrelated antibiotics, everninomicins B and C.

Anomeric **1a**, **b** was separated from the second component of the hydrolytic mixture, acidic rubradirin aglycone, by distribution of the former into the chloroform layer of a 2-phase system which also contained a 5% Na₂CO₃ solution. The two anomers were distinguished by C-1 proton signals at δ 4.75 and δ 5.28. After chromatography, the latter was crystallized, mp



* The analytical values are consistent with these molecular formulas.

150~153°, $[\alpha]_{\rm D} + 127^{\circ} \rightarrow +86^{\circ}$ (c 1, ethanol). Acetylation of the mixture in acetic anhydride and pyridine afforded anomeric 1-acetyl rubranitrose, from which we were able to crystallize the opposite anomer, **3a**, mp 74~76°, $[\alpha]_{\rm D} + 36^{\circ}$ (c 0.4, ethanol). The ¹H NMR signal of its C-1 proton showed that this was the anomeric configuration in the rubradirin glycoside (see Table 1). A further analysis of the proton spectra of 1 and 3 accounted for all of the carbons and hydrogens in three segments, O

 $O-CHCH_2-C_1$, $O-CHCH_3CHOCH_3C_2$, and CCH_3X . The combination of these fragments into a pyranose, 4 accounted for all atoms save a nitrogen and 2 oxygens, suggesting that "X" was a nitro group. An infrared band at 1550 cm⁻¹ and evolution of NO₂ upon heating supported this assumption.

The differences in the proton NMR spectra of **3a** and **3b** seemed to favor the indicated relative stereochemistry if we arbitrarily specified a 1-C conformation of the pyranose. The axial C-1 proton in **3a** is seen in its 9.8-Hz coupling with H_{ax} on C-2. Long range coupling of H_{eq} (C-2) with H(C-4) is satisfied by the coplanar system H_{eq} (C-2)(C-3)(C-4) H_{eq} -4 which exists in this configuration. In **3b** an axial acetyl function would receive maximum shielding if the nitro group at C-3 were also axial, accounting for the significant upfield shift of its three protons relative to those in **3a**. This diaxial interaction appears to affect other ring substituents as well,

C No.	No. Protons	Compound 1b		Compound 3a		Compound 3b	
1	1	5.28, DD,	$\frac{J_{2ax}}{J_{2eq}}\frac{2.5}{3.5}$	5.73, DD,	$J_{2ax} 9.8 J_{2eq} 3.5$	6.1, DD,	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{J}_{2\mathrm{ax}}ca~3.5\\ \mathbf{J}_{2\mathrm{eq}}ca~2 \end{array}$
1a	3			2.09, S		1.94, S	
2 ax	1	2.05, DD,	$\begin{array}{c} J_{\rm 2eq} \ 14.5 \\ J_1 \ 2.5 \end{array}$	1.97, DD,	$\begin{array}{c} J_{\rm 2eq} \ 14.5 \\ J_1 \ 9.8 \end{array}$	2.14, DD,	$J_{2eq} 14.5 \ J_1 ca 3.5$
2 eq	1	2.67, DD	$\begin{array}{c} J_{2ax}14.5 \\ J_{1}3.5 \end{array}$	2.52, DDD,	$J_{2ax} 14.5 J_1 2.4 J_4 1.5$	2.73, DD,	$J_{2ax} 14.5 J_1 ca 2 J_4 ?$
3a	3	1.67, S		1.69, S		1.64, S	
4	1	3.71, BrS,	$J_5 < 1$	3.63, M		3.74, Br S, J ₅ <1	
4a	3	3.62, S		3.65, S		3.60, S	
5	1	4.40, Br Q,	$J_{6}6.5 J_{4} < 1$	3.63, M		4.24, Br Q,	$J_{6}6.5 J_{4} < 1$
6	3	1.33, D, J ₅ 6.5		1.33, D, J ₅ 6.5		1.33, D,	J ₅ 6.5

Table 1. ¹H-NMR spectra of rubranitrose compounds shift in ppm, number of protons, appearance, coupling in Hz.

Fig. 1. Computer drawing of 3a.



especially the proton at C-5. This group would most likely be equatorial.

These speculations were confirmed by a crystallographic study of 3a, which produced the structure shown in Fig. 1.

The crystals are monoclinic, space group P_{z_1} , with unit-cell parameters: a=8.528 (0.001)Å, b=13.133 (0.001)Å, c=11.741 (0.001)Å, $\beta=$ 112.38 (0.01)°. Intensity data on the 2286 reflections with $2\theta \le 138^\circ$ were collected at low temperature (-155°C) using a Syntex PI diffractometer controlled by an IBM 1800 computer using graphite monochromatized CuK α radiation ($\lambda=1.5418$ Å). The structure was solved by direct methods using DIREC II and was refined by crystallographic least squares.

The final agreement index R,

$$\left(\mathbf{R} = \sum \left| |\mathbf{F}_{o}| - |\mathbf{F}_{c}| \right| / \sum |\mathbf{F}_{o}| \right)$$
, was 0.033.

The standard deviation of fit

 $\left[\text{for G.O.F.} = \left(\frac{\sum w \left(|\mathbf{F}_{o}|^{2} - |\mathbf{F}_{o}|^{2} \right)^{2}}{m - s} \right)^{1/2} \right]$

was 4.5. All calculations were carried out on an IBM 370 computer using the CRYM crystallographic system of crystallographic programs developed by D. J. DUCHAMP of these laboratories.

The absolute configuration was established by a comparison of the circular dichroism of **3a** with that of evernitrose, $2^{.7}$ The compounds showed oppositely signed COTTON curves in the vicinity of the 280 nm nitro group⁸⁾ electronic transition. The molar ellipticity in methanol at 285 nm was + 2500 for **3a** and -1200 for **2**. If it is assumed that the sign of the CD near 280 nm is determined mostly by the absolute configuration at C-3, then **3a** and **2** have opposite absolute configurations at C-3, R for **3a** and S for **2**. Thus, **3a** has the absolute configuration as shown and belongs to the L-series as does evernitrose.

Acknowledgment

The authors are indebted to Dr. D. J. DUCHAMP, C. G. CHIDESTER, and C. G. WABER for their valuable guidance during the crystal structure determination, and to Dr. W. C. KRUEGER for his consultation in the CD determinations. We thank Dr. A. K. GANGULY of the Schering Corporation for a sample of evernitrose, and Professor K. L. RINEHART, Jr. for consultation.

> Stephen A. Mizsak Herman Hoeksema Loraine M. Pschigoda

Pharmaceutical Research and Development The Upjohn Company Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001, U.S.A.

(Received February 17, 1979)

References

- BHUYAN, B. K.; S. P. OWEN & A. DIETZ: Rubradirin, a new antibiotic. I. Fermentation and biological properties. Antimicr. Agents & Chemoth.-1964: 91~96, 1965
- MEYER, C. E.: Rubradirin, a new antibiotic. II. Isolation and characterization. Antimicr. Agents & Chemoth.-1964: 97~99, 1965
- REUSSER, F.: Rubradirin, an inhibitor of ribosomal polypeptide biosynthesis. Biochemistry 12: 1136~1142, 1973
- HOEKSEMA, H.; C. CHIDESTER, S. A. MIZSAK & L. BACZYNSKYJ: The chemistry of the rubradirins. I. The structures of rubransarols A and B. J. Antibiotics 31: 1067~1069, 1978
- 5) HOEKSEMA, H.; C. LEWIS, S. A. MIZSAK, J. A. SHILEY, D. R. WAIT, H. A. WHALEY & G. E. ZURENKO: The isolation and characterization of rubradirin B. J. Antibiotics 31: 945~949, 1978
- GANGULY, A. K.; O. Z. SARRE & H. REIMANN: Evernitrose, a naturally occuring nitro sugar from everninomicins. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90: 7129~7130, 1968
- GANGULY, A. K.; O. Z. SARRE, A. T. MCPHAIL & K. D. ONAN: Stereochemistry at C-3 of evernitrose; a fallacy in the determination of stereochemistry at quaternary centres using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. X-Ray crystal structure of methyl 3-acetamide-2,3,6-trideoxy-3-c,4-O-dimethyl-L-xylo-hexopyranoside. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1977: 313~314, 1977
- CRABBÉ, P.: ORD and CD in Chemistry and Biochemistry. pp. 73, 79~80, Academic Press, New York & London, 1972